

Circulating cytokine signatures for radiation biodosimetry in a mouse PBI/BM5 model

Wanchang Cui ^{1,2*}, Lisa Hull ^{1, 3}, Asher Rothstein ^{1,3}, Li Wang ^{1,3,4}, Bin Lin ^{1,3}, Min Zhai ^{1,3}, Mang Xiao ¹

¹ *Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA*

² *Department of Pharmacology and Molecular Therapeutics, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA*

³ *Henry M Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, MD 20817, USA*

⁴ *Department of Pathology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA*

Accurate radiation biodosimetry is urgently needed for medical management after large scale radiation exposure. Partial-body irradiation with 5% bone marrow sparing (PBI/BM5) provides a realistic radiation model. We defined the survival profile of male C57BL/6 mice after PBI/BM5 and found that doses of 13.5–14.0 Gy were nonlethal within 12 days, while 15.0–15.5 Gy doses caused 100% mortality within 12 days. A separate cohort of 14.0 Gy showed 100% survival up to 90 days post radiation. To develop serum cytokine-based biodosimetry, mice were exposed to 12.0–16.0 Gy PBI/BM5 and serum was collected on days 1, 3, and 7. A multiplex cytokine assay was used to quantify 70 total cytokines/chemokines. After exclusion of 4 targets outside detection limits, 66 markers were utilized for downstream analysis. PCA, clustering and heatmaps, and LASSO classification revealed that cytokine signatures can classify radiation groups/status/dose. A 4-cytokine panel (IL-7, GDF-15, IL-16 and FLT3L) could distinguish naïve vs irradiated mice on all study days. A 24-cytokine signature distinguished radiation survivors vs. non-survivors, and another 34-cytokine panel separated radiation doses (12–16 Gy); the prediction was better on day 7 compared to earlier time points. These findings show that serum cytokines have strong potential for biodosimetry and outcome prediction in a clinically relevant PBI model.

Disclaimer: The opinions and assertions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences or the Department of War. The authors declare no conflict of interest.