# Understanding the Atomic-Scale Origins of Radiation Damage in Semiconductor Devices through Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Measurements

#### Jason T. Ryan, Ph.D.

Project Leader – Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Alternative Computing Group Nanoscale Device Characterization Division Jason.Ryan@nist.gov

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce



# Motivation

• Explosive growth of semiconductor industry changing the very fabric of society.



# How?

- Lots of reasons...
- Key Fuel faster and cheaper fundamental building blocks (transistors).



Data source: Wikipedia (wikipedia.org/wiki/Transistor\_count) Year in which the microchip was first introduced

\*technology node has become a marketing tool rather than a real physical feature description

# **Transistor operation for logic (MOSFET)**

- Metal-oxide-silicon/semiconductor field-effect-transistor.
- Basic operation all comes down to 1's and 0's (on and off).







**CMOS**: Complimentary metal-oxide-semiconductor – mix of MOSFET "flavors" (nMOS & pMOS).

## Why defects matter





New device, technology, material, etc. (if you're lucky). Ex. badly damaged due to radiation. Only getting more complicated. Practice makes perfect.

# **The Semiconductor Industry – Defect Problem**



#### Increasingly Important.

- Scaling (individual atoms matter).
- Materials (more complicated species).
- Design (more complicated interactions).



## **Electron Paramagnetic Resonance; an Imperfect Analogy...**



Photo Credit: gehealthcare.com



Photo Credit: youtube.com

Photo Credit: medicaldevice-network.com

## **Experimental Modes...**

- Conventional Electron Paramagnetic/Spin Resonance
  - Unrivaled access to atomic scale chemical and physical nature.
  - Large area/volume samples.
  - Great for "simple" material structures.
- Electrically Detected Magnetic Resonance (EDMR)
  - Detect EPR via resonance induced change in device current.
  - Fully processed nanoscale devices.
  - Same EPR information directly linked to device operation.
  - Many modes of operation (specialized information).

#### What information are we after?



## Example 1: Conventional EPR, Interface Defects Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>

IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-28, No. 6, December 1981

- RADIATION-INDUCED TRIVALENT SILICON DEFECT BUILDUP AT THE SI-SIO, INTERFACE IN MOS STRUCTURES
- P. M. Lenahan, K. L. Brower, and P. V. Dressendorfer Sandia National Laboratories Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185

W. C. Johnson Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08544









- Figure 1. ESR spectra of three samples are illustrated. Non-saturating microwave power, cavity Q and sample size are essentially identical. Samples a, b, and c were exposed to respectively 10 Mrad, 3 Mrad, and 0 Mrad of Co<sup>60</sup> gamma irradiation.
- Figure 3. Density of trivalent silicon defects at the  $Si-SiO_2$  interface measured with ESR. The arrow at zero fluence indicates that we were unable to observe the P<sub>b</sub> resonance at this level of irradiation. We estimate that its concentration is less than 0.7 x  $10^{11}/cm^2$ .

## Example 2: Conventional EPR, oxide defects Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>









FIG. 3. ESR traces of identical samples (a) after exposure to 10 Mrad of  $Co^{60}$  $\gamma$ -irradiation and (b) before exposure. The sample gates were positively biased to 20 V during irradiation. The E' center resonance is observed in (a).

FIG. 4. Distributions of E' and  $\Delta V_{mg} C_{ox}/e$  vs irradiation dose for MOS structures with oxides grown in dry oxygen and subjected to a nitrogen anneal.

10

## Example 3: Conventional EPR, interface and oxide defects Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>/HfO<sub>2</sub>

2272

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, VOL. 52, NO. 6, DECEMBER 2005

# Identification of the Atomic Scale Defects Involved in Radiation Damage in $HfO_2$ Based MOS Devices

J. T. Ryan, Student Member, IEEE, P. M. Lenahan, Member, IEEE, A. Y. Kang, Member, IEEE, J. F. Conley, Jr., Senior Member, IEEE, G. Bersuker, Member, IEEE, and P. Lysaght



Fig. 1. Pre-irradiation (below) and post-irradiation (above) narrow scan ESR traces indicating the generation of large (>10<sup>12</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup>) densities of P<sub>b0</sub> like Si/dielectric interface traps in the HfCl<sub>4</sub> precursor (sample set 1) HfO<sub>2</sub> dielectric film on SiO<sub>2</sub>/silicon. (Weak signals are present in the pre-irradiation trace at g = 2.006, g = 2.0035, and g = 2.0005 which are respectively due to P<sub>b0</sub> like interface traps, P<sub>b1</sub> like interface traps and E' like oxygen deficient silicon near interface traps.)



Fig. 2. Pre-irradiation (above) and post-irradiation (below) wide scan ESR traces indicating the generation of several defects in the Hf (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> precursor (sample set 2) HfO<sub>2</sub> dielectric film on H-terminated silicon. The two peaks on the left are (mostly) due to an O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> coupled to a hafnium ion (the central peak includes a small contribution from P<sub>b0</sub> centers). The peak on the far right is likely due to an oxygen vacancy in the HfO<sub>2</sub>. In these traces, the spectrometer settings have been set to optimize the O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and oxygen vacancy spectra.

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

\*much more complicated system due to "smeared" interfacial region and presence of hafnium.

### **Example 4: EDMR, variety of modes** and device structures

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, VOL. 66, NO. 1, JANUARY 2019

#### A New Analytical Tool for the Study of Radiation Effects in 3-D Integrated Circuits: Near-Zero Field Magnetoresistance Spectroscopy

428

James P. Ashton<sup>®</sup>, Student Member, IEEE, Stephen J. Moxim, Student Member, IEEE, Patrick M. Lenahan, Fellow, IEEE, Colin G. McKay, Student Member, IEEE, Ryan J. Waskiewicz<sup>10</sup>, Student Member, IEEE, Kenneth J. Myers<sup>10</sup>, Student Member, IEEE, Michael E. Flatte<sup>®</sup>, Member, IEEE, Nicholas J. Harmon, and Chadwin D. Young<sup>®</sup>, Member, IEEE

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig. 16. Low field and frequency (360 MHz) EDMR and NZFMR response for a-SiOC:H dense samples for preirradiation (blue line) and postirradiation (black line) utilizing SDTAT. The modulation amplitude was 0.3 mT. The RF source output power used was approximately 40 mW.

#### Flowable oxide

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

Fig. 14. Low field and frequency (72 MHz) EDMR and NZFMR response for SiO<sub>2</sub>-based flowable oxides for preirradiation (bottom blue) and postirradiation (top red) utilizing SDTAT. The tunneling current was 2.2  $\mu$ A. The modulation amplitude was 0.35 mT. The RF source output power used was approximately 20 mW. Note that the EDMR response is below the detection limit. Equivalent traces with RF turned off are identical. The spectra are offset from one another by -125 pA/mT.

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig. 8. Low field and frequency (151 MHz) EDMR and NZFMR response for planar Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> gated diodes for preirradiation (bottom blue) and postirradiation (top red) utilizing dc I-V. The forward bias used was 0.33 V. The modulation amplitude was 0.3 mT. The RF source output power used was approximately 1 W. The spectra are offset from one another by -1.25 pA/mT.

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

Fig. 10. Low field and frequency (365 MHz) EDMR and NZFMR response for lateral SiGe MOSFETs for preirradiation (top blue) and postirradiation (bottom red) utilizing SDCP. The charge-pumping frequency was 1 MHz and the pulse height used was 1.6 Vpp. The modulation amplitude was 1 mT. The RF source output power used was approximately 40 mW. The figures are normalized to illustrate differences in a line shape.

#### HKMG - FinFET PreRad PostRad EDMR Response EDMR Response

![](_page_12_Figure_14.jpeg)

Fig. 12. Low field and frequency (375 MHz) EDMR and NZFMR response for Si FinFETs with a preirradiation (bottom blue) and postirradiation (top red) utilizing dc I-V. The forward bias used was -0.5 V. The modulation amplitude was 0.5 mT. The RF source output power used was approximately 40 mW. The spectra are offset from one another by -0.18 pA/mT.

### **Example 5: Resistive Memory Applications**

Integral to many alternative computing schemes including neural networks and memory classes.

- Predictable behavior and adaptability require much broader physical understanding.
- Fundamental details regarding defect kinetics are still not resolved.
- Effects of traditional "reliability" problems not well understood in neural network applications.

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 1: Neuron cell diagram. Source: Wikimedia Commons.

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

Photo Credit: nanowerk.com

![](_page_13_Figure_9.jpeg)

Select Publications: M.A. Anders, et. al., *Appl. Phys. Lett, in prep,* 2021., 1004, 573-580, 2020; M.A. Anders et. al., *J. Appl. Phys, 128* (24), 244501, 2020; JP Ashton, et. al., *IEEE Intl. Reliab. Phys.*, 2019; M Anders, et. al., *APL*, 2019; D.J. McCrory, et. al., *Rev. Sci Inst.*, **90**, 014708, 2019; D.J. McCrory, et. al., *IEEE Trans. Nuc. Sci.*, 65 (5), 2018; G. Bersuker, et. al., *J. Comp. Elec.*, **16** (4), 2017. **14** 

### Resources

- Bruker ELEXSYS spectrometer.
  - Conventional X-band ESR or EDMR.
  - Cryogen-free helium and nitrogen variable temp. (4 K 1000 °C).
  - Programable goniometer.
  - In-situ UV irradiation.
- 1.5x homemade EDMR spectrometers.
  - Multipurpose/reconfigurable.
  - Zero field through X band.
  - SDR, SDT, SDCP, BAE, etc...
- Semiauto wafer prober w/ full IV, pulsed, and CV hardware.
- Manual wafer prober w/ full IV, pulsed, and CV hardware.
  - Configurable to wafer-level EDMR system.
- Staff
  - Jason Ryan (PI) and Steve moxim (NRC PD).
  - Countless int./ext. collaborators.

![](_page_14_Picture_16.jpeg)

## Summary

- Explosive growth of semiconductor industry changing fabric of society.
  - fueled by "faster-cheaper-better" fundamental building blocks
- As technology advances defects become increasingly more important.
  - Individual atomic-scale defects matter (size).
  - Extremely complex materials systems.
  - Generated through normal use, including radiation exposure.
- Electron paramagnetic resonance a very powerful tool.
  - Understand chemical and physical nature.
  - Link back to actual device performance and operation.
  - Many examples of utilizing EPR to understand radiation damage at device/material level.
- Many example of utilizing EPR to understand radiation damage at device/material level.
  - Simple planar Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> MSOFETs through modern highly-complex 3D transistors.

#### Example 5: EDMR, specialized per needs due to increased complexity...

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON NUCLEAR SCIENCE, VOL. 67, NO. 1, JANUARY 2020

Observation of Radiation-Induced Leakage Current Defects in MOS Oxides With Multifrequency Electrically Detected Magnetic Resonance and Near-Zero-Field Magnetoresistance

228

Stephen J. Moxim<sup>®</sup>, Member, IEEE, James P. Ashton, Member, IEEE, Patrick M. Lenahan, Fellow, IEEE, Michael E. Flatté<sup>®</sup>, Member, IEEE, Nicholas J. Harmon<sup>®</sup>, and Sean W. King, Member, IEEE

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 9. Postirradiation, high-frequency SDTAT EDMR trace with magnetic field oriented perpendicular to the (100) plane (curve A). Derivative of simulated EPR trace with a 78%  $P_{b0}$  and 22%  $P_{b1}$  center contribution (curve B). Derivative of simulated EPR trace with a 77%  $P_{b0}$  and 21%  $P_{b1}$ , and 2% E' center contribution (curve C).

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

Fig. 1. Illustration of SDTAT. Tunneling is allowed from one defect to the other if spin angular momentum is conserved. Tunneling from one defect to the other is forbidden if angular momentum is not conserved. (a) However, if electromagnetic radiation satisfying the resonance condition is present, that radiation can "flip" paramagnetic defect spins, rendering previously forbidden tunneling events allowed (b), thereby increasing current across the dielectric.

![](_page_17_Figure_9.jpeg)

# How?

Size and cont

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

\*technology node has become a marketing tool rather than a real physical feature description

## **Physical Basis For ESR (simplified)**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Physical Basis For ESR (simplified)**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

Christopher Pirrotta cxp192@psu.edu