

#### Larry Hudson Jack Glover Ronnie Minniti

National Institute of Standards & Technology

# Dosimetry For Air Travelers

USA

23 October 2012

#### Timeline for the Development of X-Ray Backscatter Standards – Whole Body Imaging in Aviation Security



#### **Radiation Safety:**

ANSI/HPS N43.17-2009 Radiation Safety for Personnel Security Screening Systems Using X-Ray or Gamma Radiation



#### **Technical Performance (Image Quality):** ANSI-IEEE N42.47-2010

American National Standard for Measuring the Imaging Performance of X-Ray and Gamma-Ray Systems for Security Screening of Humans



# Then, on 12/25/09, someone did what?!









RANDO<sup>tto</sup> phantom





The package of explosive powder that was hidden in his clothes

**b**GNEWS



## Present TSA CONOP









Figure 4 Wideband (27 - 33 GHz) images of a man carrying two concealed handguns along with several innocuous item

TSA investment has been half & half to date: mm-wave vs x-ray backscatter



## **Bodyscreening in Aviation Security**





- privacy effectiveness
- cost-benefit
- metrology
- dose & rates





#### Whole-body imaging modalities

#### backscatter



W target, filtration 1 mm Al equivalent, 50 kV potential 1 mm focal spot, 5 mA Beamsize  $\approx$  5 mm x 5 mm horizontal sweep  $\approx$  5.5 ms beam velocity  $\approx$  180 m/s, passby  $\approx$  35 µs total scan dimensions  $\approx$  2 m height x 1 m width

How does one measure a flying spot of x rays ?

forwardscatter

#### National and International X-Ray Standards for Security Screening of Persons

Venue	Technical Performance	Radiation Safety
Whole Body Imaging for aviation security	ANSI N42.47 – 2010 IEC 62709 – CDV	ANSI/HPS N43.17 – 2009 <b>IEC 62463 – 2010</b>

**IEEE STANDARDS ASSOCIATION** 

#### ANSI N43.17 & IEEE

#### guidance:

- air filled Ionization Chamber (IC)
- integrating mode
- entire volume "painted"
- large volume (sensitivity)
- low-E spectra  $\rightarrow$  thin walls  $\rightarrow$  not pressurized  $\rightarrow$  T&P corrections
- absolutely calibrated & traceable

In this work, the following detectors were calibrated at NIST to air kerma:

## **Calibration / Traceability**

(a) Radcal 10X5-1800, cylindrical IC

&

(b) RTI R100B solid-state detector, sensitive area 1 cm<sup>2</sup>

#### Also used:

• RTI CTDI100 CT Dose Profiler used for time structure investigation]

 Imaging plates for high-resolution spatial mapping



### **Components of a single-pose system**



(1) master scanner; (2) slave scanner; (3) operator console; (4) front panel of the slave scanner; (5) floor mat; (6) wings of the slave scanner.

## **Characterizing the spectrum**



#### NIST M50: absolute air kerma rate





#### **Exposure uniformity as function of distance**



Lateral and vertical field mapping with solid-state detector

upscan = red downscan = black



#### Location, location, location (IC) 100 200 Vendor A, data & fit [1 scan, 1 source] Vendor B 08 Vendor B, data & fit [1 scan, 1 source] 150 NIST M50 beam & fit [0.18 mA·s] Air Kerma (nGy) Air Kerma (nGy NIST M50 beam & 60 /endo 100 40 fit functions: 50 20 where $r^{2} = r^{1} + 76$ cm X r1 \* r2 r 2 0 0 60 80 100 120 40 140 160 180 200 Source-to-Detector Distance (cm)







## Source time structure:

## **Recombination: time**

X-RAY OF CYLINDRICAL IONIZATION CHAMBER (IC)



The IC sees a pulsed x-ray source with Interpulse Interval  $\approx 5.5$  ms

T = transit time for positive ions = {(a - b) Kcyl}<sup>2</sup>/V • k = {(4.5 cm)(1.05)}<sup>2</sup>/ (300 V)( 1.36 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>V<sup>-1</sup>)  $\approx$  55 ms

> Interpulse interval \*  $T \approx 10$  overlapping ion pulses during most of the scan

In this regime, the electronic recombination is effectively what would be observed with a continous beam with the same mean dose rate

#### **Recombination:** spatial

(1) Vendor dose limit is for a fullyilluminated IC

(2) flying spot illuminates < 1% of IC



Finally, *in situ* measurements showed recombination to be immeasurable

# Comparison of air kerma measurements from air-filled IC and solid-state detectors

Detector	Average air kerma <sup>1</sup> (front + back scans) <sup>2</sup>
Ionization Chamber	64.2 nGy ± 1 nGy
Solid State Detector	65.6 nGy ± 2 nGy

1) After two calibrations, separated by four months

2) At 1 m height, 30 cm from master source beam-emitting surface

#### Now, to estimation of doses to persons...

#### Organ and effective dose estimates

- Spectrum + Air kerma  $\rightarrow$  Dose
- Three methods were used
  - Reference effective dose (ANSI N43.17-2009)
  - PCXMC 2.0 Monte Carlo package
  - ICRP116 tables based on voxel phantom results

#### ANSI N43.17 ref. eff. dose

- Simple formula (no modelling)
- Based on air kerma at hottest spot
- Some problems
- 13.0 nSv (1.3 μrem)



**6.1.3 Determination of the Reference Effective Dose** The reference effective dose for Class A full-body scanners shall be determined from measurements of the halfvalue layer (HVL) and air kerma (or exposure) according to Sections 6.1.3.1 and 6.1.3.2, respectively. One of the equations (1) or (1a) below shall be used.

$$E_{REF} = K_a \times C$$
 (eq.1)

where

 $E_{REF}$  is the reference effective dose in Sv, K<sub>a</sub> is the measured air kerma in Gy, and C in Sv/Gy is given by C = 0.125 × HVL in mm of Al or C = 1.14, whichever is smaller.

Or, when using traditional units the equivalent equation is

 $E_{REF} = X \times C_R$  (eq. 1a)

where

For is the reference effective dose in rem AP Projection



# PCXMC

- Monte Carlo
- Gives organ and effective doses
- age-specific hermaphrodite phantoms of Cristy and Eckerman

I C:\Documents and Settings\admin\Desktop\PCX	AC_Secure_1000\Adult\	secure1000_adult_AP_91	DF2 ] 📃 🗔 🔀
File			
👖 Main menu 🛛 🚊 New Form 🛛 🗁 Open Form 🛛 🕞	<u>Save Form</u> Save	Form As 📇 Print	As Text
Header text			
Phantom data			
Phantom he	ight Phantom mass		
Age: C 0 C 1 C 5 C 10 C 15 C Adult 178.60	73.20	Arms in phantom	$\mathbf{P}$
Standard: 17	'8.6 Standard: 73.2		
Geometry data for the x-ray beam		Draw x-ray field	
ESD Beam width Beam height Xref Yref	Zref		
91.00 100.00 400.00 0.0000 0.000	00 10.0000	<u>D</u> raw	
Projection angle Cranio-caudal angle		Update Field	
270.00 0.00		2,000,000	
LATR=180 AP=270 (pos) Cranial X-ray tube		Stop	
MonteCarlo simulation parameters		D. A. K.	
		Hotation	increment + 30 · View angle 180
,			
Field size calculator	Skeleton	Pancreas	
FID Image width Image height	iv Brain iv iv Heart iv	Liver	1
110  18  24 <u>C</u> alculate	✓ Testes ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Spleen ✓	Upper large intestine	
Phantom exit- image distance: 5.0	Lungs V	Small intestine	
ESD Beam width Beam beight	V dvanes V V Kidneys V	Urinary bladder	
Use this data	▼ Thymus ▼ ▼ Stomach ▼	Gall bladder Desonbagus	
	Salivary glands	Prostate	
	✔ Ural mucosa  ✔	Pharynx/trachea/sinus	• Buick C Sharp

Organ	Adult, 30 cm from front panel of master unit (nGy)	Adult, 10 cm from front panel of master unit (nGy)	Adult, 10 cm from front panel of slave unit (nGy)	Child, age 5, 30 cm from front panel of master unit (nGy)	Infant, 30 cm from front panel of master unit (nGy)
Active bone marrow	8.4	10.4	14.1	12.4	25.6
Adrenals	6.7	6.1	16.2	8.8	15.4
Brain	3.5	4.6	5.3	6.2	16.5
Breasts	31.2	53.4	15.5	49.4	46.3
Color (Large Intestine)	8.0	13.1	6.5	12.3	20.6
(Upper large intestine)	9.2	15.1	6.4	13.4	21.9
(Lower large intestine)	6.9	10.4	6.7	10.8	18.9
Extrathoracic airways	10.5	17.6	6.2	13.3	26.8
Gall bladder	8.9	14.5	6.2	12.9	19.6
Heart	11.3	18.7	7.2	17.1	26.4
Kidneys	10.8	9.0	28.7	14.3	21.1
Liver	11.3	17.2	10.6	16.8	26.3
Lungs	12.5	16.7	17.6	19.6	29.0
Lymph nodes	10.4	16.0	9.5	15.2	24.3
Muscle	17.3	24.2	21.6	22.5	29.8
Oesophagus	3.2	4.4	4.1	6.5	12.8
Oral mucosa	11.5	18.4	8.7	17.1	30.3
Ovaries	5.6	8.5	5.3	9.7	19.0
Pancreas	5.2	7.5	6.0	9.1	16.7
Prostate	9.0	14.5	6.5	12.9	21.2
Salivary glands	16.4	22.2	22.3	21.1	34.2
Skeleton	28.9	38.3	41.5	48.8	76.2
(Skull)	27.5	39.3	32.6	46.7	76.0
(Upper Spine)	16.8	19.7	30.4	27.4	44.7
(Middle Spine)	11.5	10.2	28.8	20.1	36.4
(Lower Spine)	13.7	11.9	34.7	22.0	38.7
(Scapulae)	44.5	35.7	120.5	58.2	74.7
a disciples		80.2	23.6	71.0	94.6
(Ribs)	71.0	99.2	89.8	87.1	99.2
and the program in the providence of the program of the providence	23.5	39.9	35.9	43.5	69.6
(Middle arm bones)	33.3	46.5	42.3	48.2	75.3
(Lower arm bones)	40.3	56.2	51.4	56.3	83.0
(Pelvis)	21.1	21.9	45.2	32.1	50.0
(Upper leg bones)	9.8	13.5	12.5	26.6	56.2
(Middle leg bones)	13.6	19.0	17.3	33.6	67.3
(Lower leg hones)	28.1	39.1	35.7	53.8	95.2
Skin	43.7	61.1	54.9	46.6	52.5
Small intestine	7.4	11.6	6.3	11.4	19.2
Spleen	7.5	7.8	16.1	11.4	19.0
Stomach	13.6	22.7	8.1	19.5	26.8
Testicles	34.8	59.6	17.0	47.2	55.4
Thymus	20.2	34.7	9.6	25.9	35.3
Thyroid	21.2	36.3	10.6	32.8	43.8
Urinary bladder	14.5	24.4	8.1	19.3	27.2
Literus		11.0	6.0	10.6	18.7
Effective dose	14.8 5		10.0		40.0 0
	14.7 nSv	23.1 nSv	12.3 nSv	21.9 nSv	29.8 nSv

Effective dose 14.7 nSv (1.5 µrem)

## ICRP116

- Voxel phantom based on real CT data (ICRP110)
- Kerma to dose tables
- Calculated using EGSnrc, MCNPX, PHITS, FLUKA and GEANT4
- Irradiation geometry
- 16.0 nSv (1.6 µrem)



### Hoppe and Schmidt

- Estimated organ and effective dose from a body scan
- June 2012
- Used measurements made by Johns Hopkins
- MC simulation

Hoppe and Schmidt, Med. Phys. **39**, 3396 (2012) Glover and Hudson, Med. Phys. **39**, 5782 (2012) Hoppe and Schmidt, Med. Phys. **39**, 5785 (2012)



BACKSCATTER

#### Airport body scanners: Are they safe?

June 11, 2012 | By Mike Ahlers, CNN

Share) C Twitter) Email C Recommend If 398 people recommend this. Be the first of your friends,

Radiation from airport body scanners penetrates organs beneath the skin but at low doses that meet national standards, according to a study by Marquette University's Department of Biomedical Engineering.

But the study's author, professor Taly Gilat-Schmidt said the research does not answer the biggest question on travelers' minds: Are scanners safe? She said more independent research is needed.

The Marquette study subjected government and vendor data to sophisticated computer modeling to



A new study of backscatter machines finds they meet national standards for radiation exposure.

estimate the radiation doses travelers receive when they are scanned by backscatter machines, one of two types of imagers used to detect weapons at security checkpoints.



Figure 3. The Virtual Family: Duke, Ella, Billie, Thelonious (from left to right).



Figure 4. Thelonious: skin, muscle, inner organs, blood vessels, skeleton.

## Hoppe and Schmidt

- Used an excellent model of the beam geometry
- After correcting problems with air kerma normalisation and phantom position
- Estimated effective dose
  - Adult male: 14.4 nSv
  - Adult female: 15.9 nSv

# Effective dose from Rapiscan Secure 1000 SP ATR

- 13.0 nSv ANSI/HSP N43.17-2009 reference effective dose
- 14.7 nSv PCXMC 2.0

- 16.0 nSv ICRP voxel phantom, ICRP116 conversion coefficients and SRS-78 spectrum generator
- 15.2 nSv Hoppe and Schmidt





(ANSI N43.17 requires < 25 μrem / scan)

