

# Laboratory Proficiency Evaluation in Assessing Radioactive Contamination of Food

**Clarence Rolle**, Kathryn Emanuele, Eileen Mahar, Stephanie Healey, Zhichao Lin, Patrick Regan

> Winchester Engineering and Analytical Center U.S. Food and Drug Administration 109 Holton Street, Winchester, MA 01890



#### Disclaimer

All views and opinions expressed throughout the presentation are those of the presenter and do not necessarily represent views or official position of US Food and Drug Administration.

# Significance



With growing risks posed by global aging nuclear facilities and widespread use of radioactive materials, FDA faces increasing challenges in safeguarding the nation's food supply from radioactive contaminations that may arise from nuclear accidents or acts of nuclear terrorism.



Nuclear Accident



A major nuclear or radiological incident can prompt staggering demands on food monitoring given widespread public fear of radiation.

After the Fukushima nuclear disaster, almost **one million** food samples were analyzed in Japan alone over the next 4 years.<sup>1</sup>

1. Merz et al. Environmental Science & Technology 2015 49 (5), 2875-2885

# Food Emergency Response Network(FERN)



- To meet such demand for food monitoring, FDA has to work jointly with FERN radiological laboratory network to provide radioanalytical data needed for risk assessment and consequence management.
- The FERN integrates the nation's food-testing laboratories at the local, state and federal levels
  into a network that is able to respond to emergencies involving biological, chemical or radiological
  contamination of food.

#### FERN in Action https://www.fernlab.org/





### **Assessing Laboratories**

- FDA's decision-making will be based on large pools of data produced by different laboratories using diverse methods, which makes assessment of method acceptability and laboratory proficiency necessary.
- In analysis of food using radioanalytical techniques, difficulties and anomalies can arise from radionuclide characteristics, matrix disparity, or sample treatment.
- For instance, an existing gamma spectrometry method used for food analysis may require coincidence-summing and sample-attenuation corrections when analyte
- Evaluate the FERN labs capabilities by running proficiency testing program





### **Preparing Radioactive Materials**

Various preparation techniques were studied to provide fit-for-purpose radioactive food testing materials.

An effort was also made to ensure that the developed techniques are practical for producing sufficient test samples given broad network demand.



### **Preparing Radioactive Materials**

#### **Homogeneous Addition**

#### **Heterogeneous Addition(Hot Spot)**



Mixing and Blending Spiking Blending Spiked Ground Beef



Ground Beef



#### = **Preparing Radioactive Materials**

#### **Spiked Ground Turkey**

A test sample was randomly selected and subdivided into 10 check samples. The measurement results showed a within-sample variability of <1.5%, indicating adequate sample homogeneity for the intended study.

mple Homogeneity Verification	Sub ID	Geometry	Observed Activity	<b>1</b> s	1s, %
Check Samples	GT1	100-mL	0.443	0.011	2.37
	GT2	100-mL	0.440	0.011	2.39
	GT3	100-mL	0.434	0.010	2.37
	GT4	100-mL	0.448	0.011	2.37
	GT5	100-mL	0.428	0.010	2.38
	GT6	100-mL	0.433	0.010	2.38
	GT7	100-mL	0.446	0.011	2.35
	GT8	100-mL	0.430	0.010	2.40
	GT9	100-mL	0.441	0.011	2.38
	GT10	100-mL	0.438	0.010	2.37
			Mea	in 0.4	138
			:	Ls 0.0	07
			1s,	% 1	.5

#### Sa



#### **Preparing Radioactive Materials**





Normal Order Statistic Median

A statistical analysis based on normal probability plot correlation coefficient (PPCC) plot was used to determine betweensample equivalence and within-sample homogeneity by comparing the calculated data linear correlation coefficient against normal PPCC critical values.

#### Method Performance Assessment and Laboratory Proficiency Study

FDA

10



Based on FERN data quality objectives, a set of criteria were applied to evaluate method and laboratory performance:

For radionuclide identification:	Acceptable	if correctly identified	
	Unacceptable	if incorrectly identified	
For screening radioactivity:	Acceptable if	D ≤ 30%	
	Unacceptable	if D > 30%	
For radionuclide quantification:	Acceptable	if D ≤ 10%	
	Questionable	if 10% < D ≤ 15%	
	Unacceptable	if D > 15%	

### **Study 1: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Qualitative Scheme**



Assessing gamma-ray spectrometry methods for rapid screening of foods containing **heterogeneous** gamma radioactivity

Test sample sizes:	0.5 – 1.5 kg	
Radionuclides:		
$^{134}$ Cs = 27.47±0.60	Bq/sample	12 – 40 times below regulatory limit
$^{137}Cs = 30.09 \pm 0.71$	Bq/sample	16 – 53 times below regulatory limit
$^{60}$ Co = 99.88±1.81	Bq/sample	6 – 19 times below regulatory limit
<sup>133</sup> Ba = 17.25±0.47	Bq/sample	NA



#### Study 1: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Qualitative Scheme



Detection

Status of

#### 12

### **Study 1: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Qualitive Scheme**



#### Highlights of Study 1

- ✓ When detected, all methods used by FERN radiological laboratories were able to rapidly identify unknown gamma-emitting radionuclides in foods
- ✓ Sample homogenization techniques practiced by FERN radiological laboratories were found to be adequate for screening **heterogenous radioactive contamination** in foods
- ✓ The minimum detection limits for all methods used were found to be much lower than 1/3 of regulatory limit for the radionuclides studied, except methods 5 and 12 due to partial sample loss and deficient peak search, respectively.
- While most methods were capable of detecting all radionuclides in the test samples, a few methods using smaller gamma detectors were having difficulty in detection of Ba-133

### **Study 2: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Quantitative Scheme**



Assessing gamma-ray spectrometry methods for rapid screening of foods containing **homogeneous** gamma radioactivity

- Food Samples: Ground turkey, skim milk, Fruit Juice, green peas
- 22 FERN radiological laboratories for identification and quantification of <sup>137</sup>Cs by gamma spectrometry.

#### **Study 2: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Quantitative Scheme**

Laboratory ID



Laboratory ID

All laboratories were able to correctly identify the radionuclide Cs 137

> 82% of the laboratories were able to meet ±15% acceptance criteria

The study also identified several laboratory performance issues that need to be further addressed, which include over- or underestimated measurement uncertainties as shown by laboratories K and I, respectively, deficiencies in detector efficiency calibration as shown by laboratories K and G, and inadequate internal laboratory data review as shown by laboratories E and P.

### **Study 3: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Sequential Scheme**



Assessing gamma-ray spectrometry methods for quantification of unknown gammaemitting radionuclides in foods with **different compositions and densities** 

#### **Test Samples**

Sample Size: Sample Matrix:

0.5 - 1 kgGround beefDensity = 1.17 g/mLTea LeafDensity = 0.56 g/mLHoneyDensity = 1.54 g/mL

	C		(UC)		T = = 1 = = { (TC)	
Radionuclide	Ground beet (BS)		Honey (HS)		Tea Leaf (TS)	
	Mean	±2s	Mean	±2s	Mean	±2s
<sup>241</sup> Am	104.8	10.7	78.4	1.6	222.1	6.4
<sup>60</sup> Co	64.5	6.6	48.3	1.2	136.8	4.4
<sup>137</sup> Cs	40.7	4.2	30.5	0.9	86.3	3.0
<sup>88</sup> Y	133.5	13.7	99.9	2.2	283.0	8.4
<sup>109</sup> Cd	1340.6	139.4	1003.4	28.5	2831.9	99.1
<sup>57</sup> Co	31.4	3.2	23.5	0.4	66.6	1.8
<sup>139</sup> Ce	47.5	4.8	35.5	0.7	100.7	2.9
<sup>51</sup> Cr	1349.4	137.3	1009.7	18.9	2860.0	79.1
<sup>113</sup> Sn	81.1	8.4	60.7	1.5	171.9	5.6
<sup>85</sup> Sr	107.5	10.9	80.4	1.5	227.8	6.3

Note: The activity concentration is given at reference time of 4/1/2017 12:00 PM EST. The expanded uncertainty (2s) is estimated at 95% confidence level.

## Study 3: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Sequential Scheme

FDA

Radionuclide = Co-60



www.fda.gov

# **Study 3: Interlaboratory Comparison Study Using Sequential Scheme**

- ✓ From reviewing the plots, a few methodological issues were identified for method improvement and harmonization:
  - Changes in sample density and coincidence summing of gamma rays notably biased the results of food analysis
  - To improve accuracy, it requires implementation of counting efficiency corrections to compensate sample selfattenuation and coincidence-summing effects
  - Efficiency calibrations for some methods were found to be problematic as indicated by consistently biased results for most radionuclides analyzed
  - To obtain valid efficiency calibration, it requires use of traceable calibration standards and validation of all nuclide data files used for efficiency calibration and sample analysis



- Radioanalytical test capacity is enhanced by leveraging radioanalytical laboratory resources nationwide
- Various techniques for preparation of fit-for-purpose food-based radionuclide reference materials are developed, validated, and successfully used
- Most radioanalytical methods were sufficiently sensitive for detection of radioactive contaminants in foods much lower than the proposed regulatory limits
- Continuing study on improving current methods and developing new methods are needed to establish full radioanalytical capability for food monitoring and protection

### Acknowledgements

Winchester Engineering and Analytical Center(WEAC)

**FERN Labs** 



This project was supported in part by an appointment to the Science Education Programs at National Institutes of Health (NIH), administered by ORAU through the U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education. FD



#### Thank You!

#### **Questions or Comments?**